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Executive summary  This deliverable proposes the software infrastructure and the data representation and exchange format for the ConCreTe project which will build concept creation systems that cooperate across the Internet, enabling ConCreTe partners to collaborate efficiently. To this end, the underlying technology is based on the concept of Web service architecture, allowing software developed at partner institutions to interact directly via well-defined APIs exposed in a standard way. This report specifies the proposed extensible information exchange specifications, so that unforeseen issues may be addressed later in the project. The proposed representation is based on the RDF framework [6], enabling models and data to be shared directly among the partners. This report summarizes the efforts and achievements so far, aimed at ensuring systematic data management, sharing and processing.

Key elements of ConCreTe’s data management and processing are reflected in the following components: (I) the baseline: SOA architecture and RESTful interfaces, (II) the ClowdFlows browser-based workflow construction and execution platform with its cloud-based memory facilities and (III) the proposed RDF ConCreTe data representation format.

It is expected that the model and data representations will be further refined, changed and adapted to new findings, agreements and artifacts arising from the progress of the project. If needed, this will result in an update of this deliverable.
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1 Introduction

The ConCreTe project aims to study conceptual creativity, to model human creativity and develop computer systems that behave similarly as humans behave creatively. To facilitate interaction among various software components that will be developed in this pursuit, a common platform for deployment and interaction is used. Since it is expected that many of the data resources will also be shared among the components, a common data representation is also beneficial.

In this document, the technical infrastructure of ConCreTe is defined and initial specifications for project’s software components and data representations are provided. Some proposed specifications that are not mandatory for proper operation of the software system of ConCreTe were denoted as recommendations, to emphasize that they may be violated, if there is a good reason or a strong preference for an alternative. It is expected that some of the recommendations will become specifications and that the specifications will have to be updated as the ConCreTe project will progress.

The project will use a Web-based software platform, which enables composition of software components into workflows, is capable of computing in the cloud and can incorporate Web services as workflow components. It also allows authoring, group work and easy dissemination of developed applications. Data representation of choice will be the RDF, with prescribed fallback scenarios for situations in which the RDF representation might hinder the effectiveness of communication or processing.

The document is structured as follows: in Section 2, the general architecture of the ConCreTe software platform is introduced and the platform of choice is presented. Section 3 provides proposed specifications of data representation in ConCreTe, with particular focus on RDF as the data representation to which we aim to in ConCreTe. Finally, in Section 4 a summary of specifications is provided in order to serve as a quick reference. Additionally, Appendix A lists the parameters and characteristics of software components that have to accompany any newly developed software component of ConCreTe in order to allow for a proper integration into the ConCreTe software platform and Appendix B provides an example of the specification of parameters and characteristics of a software component.

2 Service architecture of ConCreTe

This section presents the service-oriented architecture of the ConCreTe project’s technical infrastructure. Section 2.1 introduces service-oriented architecture in general terms. Section 2.2 discusses platforms for workflow construction and executions and their relations to service-oriented architecture. Section 2.3 outlines the main requirements for the ConCreTe architecture. Finally, Section 2.4 describes in more detail the infrastructure for creating and executing ConCreTe workflows which will be based on the ClowdFlows platform.

2.1 Service oriented architecture

Service-oriented architecture (SOA) [3] is a paradigm that specifies the design and implementation of software through the use of services, which are connected to each other and interact together. SOA is a distributed architecture that allows the user to build an application by means of composing individual components that exist across separate physical or logical domains. A service is a unit of clear and distinct functionality, independently deployed, which communicates solely through contractually specified interfaces, and only has explicit dependencies.

Services encapsulate processing logic within some context which can be a specific process step, sub-process, entire process or even logic provided by other services. As the services are required to interact, they rely on service descriptions which establish names and locations of services, as well as their data exchange requirements. Service descriptions ensure loose coupling of services, but a suitable communication framework is also required. Services commonly
exchange autonomous units of communication through messaging.

When implementing a service-oriented architecture different technologies can be used, and the implementation usually relies on a set of related standards that form a compatible technology stack. The most commonly used technologies are:

- SOAP (Simple Object Access Protocol)\(^1\), a protocol for exchanging structured information, which relies on XML for the message format and the HTTP protocol for message transmission,
- REST (REpresentational State Transfer) \([4]\), a software architecture which is not as strongly typed as SOAP and whose language is based on the use of nouns and verbs with the emphasis on readability,
- WSDL (Web Services Description Language)\(^2\), a machine-processable format in which the public interface for Web services is described.

In practice, one usually chooses between SOAP and REST when implementing the service-oriented architecture. When SOAP is used, the services are described with WSDL. Since version 2.0, WSDL can also be used to describe REST services.

Web-services are agnostic of the platform and programming language of the implementation of the service. They thus provide the means for communication between the software developed using heterogeneous technologies. The computation is distributed among servers hosting the services. Computationally intensive services can be hosted on dedicated high performance hardware, whereas simpler, less computationally expensive services can be bundled together on the same server. The complexity of the underlying implementation is hidden from the user. This improves scalability of the solution as it provides easier migration to more powerful hardware as the utilization of the service grows. In addition, the latest versions of underlying software libraries are provided automatically to all clients given that the services are updated regularly.

### 2.2 Platforms for scientific workflows

Construction of analytic workflows has been the topic of substantial research and development. For example, modern knowledge discovery systems offer means for workflow construction and execution. This is crucial for conducting complex scientific experiments, which need to be repeatable and easily verified by referees or peers. Workflows exploit the composability of services, assembling complex applications by reusing existing elementary services.

A well-known system is the Triana \([8]\) workflow environment for P2P and Grid containing a system for integrating various types of middleware toolkit. Another prominent system is the Taverna \([5]\) environment for workflow development and execution. Both Triana and Taverna support integration of SOAP and REST Web services within the workflows.

Within the knowledge discovery community, there are several well-known frameworks for workflow construction, management, and execution including KNIME \([1]\), RapidMiner \([10]\), and Orange \([2]\). In their newest incarnations, they all have implemented support for web-services. However, they are all implemented as standalone applications and have specific hardware and software requirements.

### 2.3 Requirements for the ConCreTe architecture

An important technical task of the ConCreTe project is establishing a platform which will facilitate collaboration and sharing of developed resources and software. A key requirement for this platform is the support for distributed development of software components. These components may require certain hardware and depend on particular software libraries. Software components will

\(^{1}\)http://www.w3.org/TR/soap/
\(^{2}\)http://www.w3.org/TR/wSDL
be developed by different project partners, where each partner can focus on components specific to the partner's expertise. The developed software components should be easily reusable by other project partners, without dealing with complexities of installation and configuration. For these reasons, the components developed in ConCreTe will mostly be provided as Web services.

Another key aspect of collaboration is sharing of workflows and results. We believe that the most suitable solution is a Web-based platform which would host all the workflows developed during the project. This will enable researchers to share workflows by simply providing their URLs. Such workflows can be executed by different users to recreate the same results. To this end, ConCreTe will be supported by the ClowdFlows \cite{7} platform. ClowdFlows runs as a Web application and poses no software requirements to the user, other than a standards-compliant Web browser. It supports development of interactive scientific workflows which can be composed, inspected and executed by using the platform’s Web interface.

2.4 SOA platform for ConCreTe workflows

ConCreTe workflows are planned to be executable graphical representations of complex concept creation procedures. A mockup of a potential ConCreTe workflow is shown in Figure 1. It represents poem generation based on hand-crafted poem templates. The poem generator also uses concepts created from WordNet definition and news. The poem generator chooses its mood based on the sentiment of the current news. Lastly, the generated poem is saved to file as well as suitably visualized.

A workflow is composed of a set of widgets and connections between them. A widget is a single workflow processing unit and can have a number of inputs, outputs, and parameters. Each widget performs a task taking into account the inputs and parameters, and stores the results of the operation in the outputs. The Poem Generator widget from Figure 1 uses as inputs a set of concept definitions, sentiment, and a poem template and produces as output a poem object. Connections define the channels for transferring data between widgets and run from the output of one widget to the input of another widget. The data is transferred along these connections, so each input can only receive data from a connected output. The Poem Generator takes its concept definitions from the Concept Foundry widget and its sentiment from the Sentiment Detection widget. The poem produced as output is used by the Write to File and the Poem Visualization widgets. Parameters are similar to inputs, but need to be entered manually by the user. Inputs can be transformed into parameters and vice-versa, depending on the user’s needs.

The workflows developed in ConCreTe will be implemented in the ClowdFlows platform. ClowdFlows relies on the PySimpleSoap\textsuperscript{3} library for consuming the Web services and importing them as workflow components. PySimpleSoap is a lightweight library written in Python and provides an interface for client and server Web service communication, which allows importing WSDL

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure1}
\caption{Sketch of an envisioned ConCreTe workflow.}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{3}http://code.google.com/p/pysimplesoap/
Web services as workflow components, and enables exposing entire workflows as WSDL Web services.

The platform architecture can be separated into three layers: the user interface layer, the server layer, and the Web service layer. The user interface layer is where the user composes and issues commands to execute the workflows. When the processing is completed, representation and visualization of the results are performed at this layer. The server layer provides the database where all the information on the available workflow components, user created workflows and the user data are stored. The execution of the workflow components occurs at the server layer and the Web service layer. The Web service layer consists of the WSDL described Web services imported into the platform.

The effort in the ConCreTe project will focus on developing computational creativity algorithms and deploying them as Web services. The majority of components that represent computational creativity software components will be provided as Web services. On the other hand, the majority of the support components including preprocessing, post-processing and format transformations will be provided as components at the server layer. Visualization of results and the interactions with the user will occur at the user interface layer.

The workflow execution engine is part of the server layer. The engine performs a topological ordering of the widgets in a workflow, ensuring that they will be executed in a correct order. As soon as all inputs of a widget become available it acquires an executable status and is executed by the engine. Whenever two or more widgets are executable at the same time they are asynchronously executed in parallel, since they are independent of each other. The execution of a workflow is complete when there are no executable (widgets whose inputs are available, but have not yet run) or running (widgets which being executed at the moment) widgets.

The workflows stored on the ClowdFlows server can be accessed from any location, provided there is an Internet connection between the user and the ClowdFlows server. Workflows are by default private and accessible only by its author. Any workflow can, however, be made public, in which case the platform generates a specific URL for the workflow. Users can easily share their public workflows by publishing the URL of the workflow. Whenever a public workflow is accessed by a user, a copy of the workflow is created on the fly and added to the user’s private workflow repository. The workflow is copied with all the data to ensure the repeatability of experiments. Each such copied public workflow can be edited, extended with additional widgets or used as a template to create a new workflow, which can be made public as well.

3 Data exchange and representation

This section provides a formalization of data and model representations in the service oriented infrastructure of the ConCreTe project. It consists of three sub-sections: Section 3.1 introduces current data and model representation requirements of the ClowdFlows platform. The proposed representations are described in detail in Section 3.2. Finally, a suggestion of simpler fallback representations is given in Section 3.3.

3.1 Platform requirements

ClowdFlows, the underlying platform of the ConCreTe’s software infrastructure, supports two types of components:

- native widgets and
- Web services.

It is expected that computational creativity software components that will arise from ConCreTe will mostly be implemented as Web services, particularly in the first implementation stage. Ideally, among them, a very generally useful and applicable set of software components would be identified and re-implemented as native widgets. These would form a new Computational Creativity
set of widgets that would become a new module available as a part of the standard ClowdFlows
distribution.

3.1.1 Native widgets

Native widgets reside on the server where the ClowdFlows platform is installed. They are written
in Python and communicate their inputs and outputs in any data type supported in Python, such
as integer, float, string, list, dictionary, object, etc. To be even more specific, the widgets use se-
rialized data files for exchanging input/output. The Python pickle\(^4\) module is used for serialization
and the widgets can exchange data of any Python type that can be serialized with pickle. This
includes most of the usual data types. Very few data types cannot be pickled and consequently
exchanged among widgets, such as for example file and database handles\(^5\).

3.1.2 Web services

SOAP  Web services can be imported into the platform and can be used in much the same way
as native widgets. Also in communication among Web services, the platform arbitrates in their
communication and performs intermediate data serialization (pickling), so the same requirements
regarding interchanged data types apply as in native widgets described in Section 3.1.1. How-
ever, there is one exception: in case of data streams, the exchanged data is not serialized and
can be of any kind, as long as the Web services are synchronized regarding its type and format.

REST  Web services do not come with formalized definition of their interface. They will be sup-
ported by a dedicated widget that will enable the user to define the parameters of the HTTP
POST request for a particular REST service. Inclusion of REST Web services into the platform
will cause no additional requirements or restrictions on data types or formats, since all commu-
nications and operations of REST Web services are done using HTTP protocol and the whole
HTTP response object in Python is picklable.

3.2 Data and model representation

The main data representation language used in the collaborative system of ConCreTe is agreed
to be the RDF (Resource Description Framework). RDF is designed for machine readability,
preservation of semantics and information exchange among applications, thus it is well suited for
representing data and models that are exchanged among Web services.

RDF can be roughly described as data representation in which:

- Data is represented as a collection of Subject—Predicate—Object triples. Subject and
  object stand for two things in the world, while the predicate stands for a relation among
  them.
- Each of the three elements in a triple is a name of global entity, a name of local entity or a
text literal.

Names refer to things in the world and are given as URIs (Uniform Resource Identifiers) [9].
Names of global entities are shared among many RDF documents, so they always have the same
meaning. The names of local entities are referred to only within the document where they reside.
Text literals represent raw data, like numbers, raw text etc.

The reader wanting to know more about RDF representation, including the graph representa-
tion of RDF triples collections can find more information in [12].

In the following subsections, we present some specific data representation conventions that
were agreed to be followed in ConCreTe.

\(^4\)http://docs.python.org/2/library/pickle.html
\(^5\)A handle in computer programming means an abstract reference used for accessing resources such as files,
databases and alike.
3.2.1 RDF notation

There are two main notations used for writing RDF:

- RDF/XML and
- Turtle (pure RDF subset of Notation 3, which can also express logic).

In ConCreTe, we suggest using the Turtle notation, since it is less verbose, much easier to read and write by humans and is currently the most widely used one. A common argument for RDF/XML used to be that it is standardized by W3C, which the Turtle was not, but this is no longer the case, as Turtle is getting standardized by W3C as well\(^6\).

Turtle and RDF/XML are merely notational formats and use of one or the other does not affect the RDF data representation. Therefore, conversion from one format to the other is not difficult and there are several free tools for it. All the already existing data resources, which the partners might have in RDF/XML are thus also useful.

3.2.2 Data types

Elements of RDF triples are global or local names, or text literals. For text literals we can define also their data types (in the sense of computer programming, not for example in the sense of types in ontologies). This is done by appending two carets (\(^\rceil\rceil\)) and a name of the datatype to the literal. A common convention is to use datatypes of the XML Schema and this will be also the convention in RDFs of ConCreTe. In this way, each data type can be uniquely referred to with a URI, for example like this:

"0"^^<http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#boolean>

for a zero that is of a boolean data type.

3.2.3 ConCreTe specific URIs

Use of URIs is an essential characteristic of the RDF data representation. URIs of RDF data in ConCreTe will come from common core vocabularies, such as the RDF\(^7\), Dublin Core\(^8\) and FOAF\(^9\). However, it is expected that for some of the data we will need to provide new, project specific URIs. For this reason it is useful to have a common project's URI schema.

We propose the use of project's URL as the first part or the base of project specific URIs. Second part should follow a shallow hierarchy that splits resources as data, model and system specific concepts, with hash (#) prefixed items as the final part of the URI. Each project specific URI would thus follow the template:

\(<\text{base}>\langle\text{hierarchy}\rangle\#\langle\text{item}\rangle\>

where \(<\text{base}>\) is http://conceptcreationtechnology.eu/, the \(<\text{hierarchy}>\) is defined as:

- \(<\text{base}>\)
  - \(<\text{base}>\)/resource/
    - \(<\text{resource}>\)/data#
    - \(<\text{resource}>\)/model#
    - \(<\text{resource}>\)/system#

---

\(^6\)http://www.w3.org/TR/turtle/

\(^7\)RDF namespace: http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#

\(^8\)Dublin Core namespace: http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/

\(^9\)FOAF namespace: namespace: http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/
and the <item> is a unique (unique to the project's namespace) name of a concept that we want to represent with a given URI.

A project's specific understanding of a concept might be represented as:


The proposed schema is, of course, subject to possible changes and adaptations. It is not possible to envisage what kind of concepts, data and models will arise from the project and what kind of representation needs might occur in future ConCreTe developments. The proposed schema, particularly its hierarchy, should thus be perceived as a recommendation rather than a specification.

Providing look-up URIs are identifiers and in general they do not provide any information about the resource they identify. For example, although a URI such as http://someproject.net/London/CO2/sensor42#2013october1 might suggest that it represents a CO₂ sensor reading in London on a particular day, it could in fact identify a recipe for a particularly delicious cake in somebody's collection of baking recipes. The URIs should not be screened for information about the referenced resources as this is not their role, they are only identifiers.

It is thus a commonly accepted good practice to enable retrieval (look-up) of descriptions of the resources that the URIs identify. This can be done in a straightforward way for the URIs of the http: schema by providing HTML description for humans and RDF data for machines that access the URI through the HTTP protocol. In case of our exemplary project's specific representation of a concept, when accessing the URI http://conceptcreationtechnology.eu/resource/model#concept the HTTP protocol removes the # symbol and everything after it, so we receive the HTML description that is available at http://conceptcreationtechnology.eu/resource/model. In this case a description of all resources that deal with models, including a description of the concept. The same address could serve the RDF representation of the concept, if a machine would access it. Or a PNG image or MP3 audio file, etc. Explanations of how this can be implemented and some other URI recommendations are available in W3C documentation [11]. For the URIs of ConCreTe, we propose mandatory availability of URI descriptions, not constraining the implementational details.

3.3 Fallback representations

In case the preferred RDF data representation proves to be too difficult or costly to use or manage in a specific ConCreTe dataset, another formalized representation should be used. The ConCreTe platform supports any serializable data format as explained in Section 3.1.1. For such cases, the XML should be used as the first fallback format of choice.

Other data formats (like JSON¹⁰, YAML¹¹, etc.) can be used if agreed upon and if their use makes a specific benefit for the given ConCreTe application.

Free and ad hoc formatted strings can be used and are supported, but should be limited only to specific components and situations (early prototypes).

¹⁰http://www.json.org/
¹¹http://www.yaml.org/
4 Summary of specifications

Here we summarize all the specifications and recommendations for the components of ConCreTe’s technical infrastructure.

Specifications:

- Software components are implemented as Web services or Python widgets.
- Web services must follow either SOAP or REST protocol.
- We aim at RDF representation of our data.
- Datatypes of RDF literals are datatypes of the XML Schema.
- Project specific data must follow the URI schema as defined in Section 3.2.3.
- URIs designed in ConCreTe must provide at least HTML descriptions of the resources that they represent.

Recommendations:

- RDF data is serialized according to the Turtle (N3 subset) format.
- In case the RDF framework is too difficult to follow for representation of a certain dataset, the XML format should be used.
Appendices

A ConCreTe workflow component specification

The following information has to be provided for each workflow software component.

Note: not all details are required for all types of integration but should be provided for the sake of completeness.

1. **Contributor**: name of the institution that is providing the component.
2. **Contact**: name and contact of the person responsible for the component.
3. **Name**: name of the component.
4. **Description**: description of the component in form of a short free text.
5. **References**: references of the component. If the component is published in a scientific publication, a citation of the original work.
6. **Licence**: the licence under which the component is available (open source, proprietary, non-commercial, ...).
7. **System requirements**
   - **Hardware requirements**: processing, memory, and storage requirements of the components.
   - **Operating system**: operating system under which the component can run.
8. **Implementation details**
   - **Language**: programming language in which the component is written.
   - **Third-party libraries**: whether the component relies on some third-party libraries. If yes, a list of the libraries on which it relies and their respective licences.
9. **Integration**: specify which type of integration is most suitable for the component. Choose one of the following:
   - native integration with Python (C, C++, Python)
   - tight integration using wrappers (Java, C#)
   - integration of standalone command-line executables
   - integration with web services
     - SOAP
     - REST
   - web integration (remotely hosted software, only for visualizations)
10. **Integration issues**: the issues that the contributor of the component foresees may arise when integrating this component into ClowdFlows. Examples include issues like thread safety and statefulness.
11. **Inputs**: number of data inputs and their name, type, and format. Number input parameters to be controlled from the workflow and their name and type.
12. **Outputs**: number of outputs and their name, type, and format.
B  Component specification example

1. **Contributor**: University XY
2. **Contact**: Name Surname
3. **Name**: Semantic Processing
4. **Description**: The component provides semantic analysis for an input text and delivers semantic annotations for events, event participant roles, temporal relations for event sequences and event decision trees.
6. **Licence**: proprietary
7. **System requirements**
   - **Hardware requirements**: CPU 2GHz, 2 GB RAM, 1 GB disk space
   - **Operating system**: Windows XP or higher, Unix
8. **Implementation details**
   - **Language**: Java
   - **Third-party libraries**: open-source, non-commercial libraries and lexical resources
9. **Integration**: Via SOAP Web services or java wrappers
10. **Integration issues**: none foreseen
11. **Inputs**: Serialized java-objects for text with syntactic analyses such as sentence boundaries, tokens, part-of-speech tags, constituency and dependency parses, itemization and quotation zones. Alternatively these analyses can be passed in one of the predefined annotation formats.
12. **Outputs**: temporal events, entity mentions, event participant roles, temporal relations, coreference relations, causal relations and event decision trees. The annotations are provided as serialized java-objects or in one of the predefined XML annotation formats.
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